

Web-Based Decision Support System for Budget Prediction Using the SAW Method for Tourism Recommendations

Siti Sufaidah^{1*}, Fahmi Zainal Habib² Informatics Study Program, Universitas KH. A. Wahab Hasbullah Email: <u>Fahmi.amir.001@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

Tourism plays a crucial role in regional and national economies, with local tourism in Indonesia, particularly in Jombang Regency, East Java, offering substantial development potential. However, tourists often face difficulties in planning trips that align with their budgets due to inadequate integration of information on costs, trip duration, and participant numbers. This study develops a web-based Decision Support System (DSS) utilizing the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method to enhance trip planning for tourism in Jombang. The DSS integrates data on budget, trip duration, and the number of participants to provide optimal recommendations based on user preferences and constraints. The SAW method facilitates a systematic and objective evaluation process for recommending tourist destinations. The research follows the ADDIE development model, encompassing Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation stages. Key objectives include creating a system that consolidates cost, duration, and participant data, applying SAW for accurate recommendations, and ensuring an objective evaluation process. The system aims to improve trip planning efficiency, provide accurate recommendations, and enhance user satisfaction. The scope of this study is limited to applying the SAW method for budget prediction in tourism recommendations for Jombang. The developed system is a prototype that may require further refinement for comprehensive implementation.

Keywords: Decision Support System, Simple Additive Weighting, Budget Prediction, Tourism.

INTRODUCTION

In the current era of globalization, the tourism sector has become a vital part of regional and national economies. In Indonesia, local tourism, including in Jombang Regency, East Java, holds significant development potential. Despite Jombang's attractive tourist destinations, such as waterfalls, culinary spots, and natural areas, tourists often face difficulties in planning trips that fit their budgets (Singgalen, 2023). Tourists frequently encounter challenges due to a lack of integrated information on costs, trip duration, and the number of participants, leading to inefficient planning and potential budget overruns. This highlights the need for a decision support system (DSS) that can effectively manage information and provide tailored recommendations based on user preferences and budget constraints. The Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method is a valuable technique within DSS for evaluating and recommending alternatives based on weighted criteria, such as total cost, trip duration, and the number of participants at total cost, trip duration, and the number of system is a valuable technique within DSS for evaluating and recommending alternatives based on weighted criteria, such as total cost, trip duration, and the number of participants. By applying the SAW method, the evaluation process for tourist destinations becomes more systematic and objective.

This study aims to develop a DSS specifically designed to recommend tourist destinations in Jombang using the SAW method. The system will integrate data on budget, trip duration, and the number of participants to provide optimal recommendations according to user needs and preferences, thereby enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of trip planning in Jombang. The research objectives include: developing a system that integrates information on costs, trip duration, and the number of participants; using the SAW method to provide accurate destination recommendations that match tourists' budgets and preferences; and implementing a systematic and objective evaluation process. The study is expected to offer several benefits, including integrated information, accurate recommendations, and enhanced user satisfaction through objective evaluations.

The research is limited in scope to the SAW method for budget prediction in tourism

recommendations for Jombang Regency. It targets tourists with specific budgets and preferences, and the developed system is a prototype that may require further development for full implementation.

METHOD

The application development model used in this research is the ADDIE development model, which consists of five research stages as follows:



Figure 1. ADDIE Development Model

• Analysis

This stage involves analyzing problems related to tourist attractions in Jombang, including the attractions themselves, management, and issues faced by both managers and visitors. The goal is to identify solutions to improve the services of tourist attraction managers and facilitate visitors. After identifying the urgent problem of determining the best tourist spots in Jombang Regency, a web-based application is developed to assist tourists in selecting the optimal tourist locations in Jombang Regency.

• Design

After completing the analysis stage, the next stage is design. This involves creating a web-based application for identifying the best tourist locations, including designing the user interface, descriptions of tourist attractions, and other elements.

• Development

The development stage involves building the web-based application according to the design specifications from the previous stage. Once the application is developed, functional testing is conducted to ensure its suitability and performance.

• Implementation

In the implementation stage, the developed application is tested with users to identify any shortcomings from a user perspective. If problems are found, a system evaluation is carried out to address these issues.

• Evaluation

The final stage involves evaluating user feedback to improve the application. The goal is to enhance user comfort and satisfaction by refining the application based on the collected input.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION ERD Design

The ERD diagram illustrates the structure of tables within the database and the relationships between these tables in the system. Each table stores specific data, and the relationships between tables show how data is interconnected. For example, users provide suggestions for destinations, destinations have alternatives, and the Decision Support System (DSS) method is used for calculations related to destinations. This diagram is also used to design your MySQL database structure, facilitating the implementation and management of data within the system.



Figure 2 - Diagram ER (Entity-Relationship)

Diagram UML

This UML diagram illustrates the interaction between Users, the System, and Admins within the system. It shows how Users and Admins communicate with the System through various actions and processes.

- Users log in, access their dashboard, view recommendations, submit suggestions, and log out.
- Admins perform the same login process, manage data, validate user suggestions, carry out decision support calculations, and generate reports/statistics.

This diagram helps to understand the sequence of interactions and how different roles interact with the system at various stages.



Figure 3. Diagram UML(Unified Modeling Language)

Web Project Model

The image below displays the model of your web project. This model provides a visual representation of the structure and interface of the developed web application, as well as how various components and features are implemented within the system.

Login Page



Figure 4. Login menu

Description: This page features a login interface where both Admin and Users enter their credentials. It is the initial access point for the system.

• Admin Dashboard/Main Menu

Ialo, Administrator						niotrator 🚊 -
Dashboard	Dashboard					
	Dashboard					
	Dunbboard					
	4	SEI	AMAT DATANG	DI IOME	BANG	
		Sistem	Pendukung Keputusan Pre Recomendasi Wi	ediksi Angga Isata	ran untuk	
	DESTINASI WISATA 30	KRITERIA 5	JENIS WISATA 7	% 1	PENGGUNA 4	20
	Provide A REF Directory (N)				been b	
	Copyright to tipe, without Joinfrang 2024				Prevery P	Der - Timm & Could

Figure 5. Admin Dasboard

Description: After logging in, Admins are presented with a dashboard that provides access to management features and system controls.

• Data Management Page (Admin)

01/08/24 • 23:32:38									Administrator	<u> </u>
A Dashboard	Dat	a Krite	eria							
🗞 Master Data 🛛 👻										
Data Kriteria	Dasho	oard / Data Kri	tena							
Data Destinasi Wisata										
Data Jenis Wisata	-	Krisma								
Data Alternatif	10	 ent pag 	ries per Je					Sean	zh	Search
🗢 Master Saran 🖪								iteria		
ai. Master SPK 4	No	Nama Kriteria	Kategori	Keterangan	Skala 1	Skala 2	Skala 3	Skala 4	Skala 5	
Zo Master Pengguna 4	1	Jnesk	Cost	Jarak dihitung dari titik 0 Kota Malang terhadap destinasi wisata.	$x \ge 15$ km	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \ \mathrm{km} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 15 \\ \mathrm{km} \end{array}$	$8 \ \mathrm{km} \leq \mathrm{x} \ 11 \ \mathrm{km}$	$4\;km \le x \le 7\;km$	$0~km \leq x \leq 3~km$	
	2	Total Anggaran Biaya	Cost	Biaya dihitung berdasarkan total pengeluaran yang diperinkan.	< Rp 50.000 Sangat Rendah	Rp 50.000 - Rp 100.000 Rendah	Rp 100.000 - Rp 200.000 Sedang	Rp 200.000 - Rp 500.000 Tinggi	≥ Rp 500.000 Sangat Tinggi	
	3	Total orang	Benefit	Keterangan ini menggambarkan cara penilaian berdaarkan jumlah orang yang terlibat, dengan asumsi bahwa semakin banyak orang, semakin baik nilai yang	< 3 Sangat Sedikit	3-4 Sedikit	5 - 6 Sedang	7 - 9 Banyak	≥ 10 Sangat Banyak	

Figure 6. Data management Page

- **Description**: After logging in, Admins are presented with a dashboard that provides access to management features and system controls.
- Suggestion Validation Page (Admin)

Halo, Administrator! = 01/08/24 • 23:35:01						Admini	strator 💄 🕶				
🕈 Dashboard	Validas	si Saran Dest	tinasi Wisata								
💩 Master Data 🛛 4	vanuas	valluasi salali Desillasi wisala									
📮 Master Saran 🛛 🔻	Dashboard / 1	Validasi Saran Destinasi Wisata	1								
Validasi Saran Wisata											
ni, Master SPK 🔹 🕯	No	Nama User	Saran Nama Destinasi Wisata	Jenis Wisata	Keterangan	Validasi	Aksi				
🍰 Master Pengguna 🛛 4			Belum Ada Saran Destina	si Wisata yang Dibua	t						

Figure 7. Sugguestion Validation Page

Description: This page allows Admins to review and validate user suggestions, ensuring the quality and relevance of feedback.

• Decision Support Calculation Page (Admin)

iboard							
ter Data 4	Normalisasi Al	ternatif					
lar Saran d	Kategori	COST		BENEFIT	1	BENEFIT	
	Nilai Pembagi	1		5		5	
er SPK 👻	Bohot	0.25		0.25		0.25	
de SPK							
Perhitungan	10 v entries per	page				Search	
ter Pengguna 🛛 🖣							
	No 🗘 Nama A	lternatif	Jenis Wisata û	Total Anggaran Biaya	C Total orang	C Lama Liburan	
	1 Air Terji	an Sanggar Jombang	Pariwisata Alam	1	0.2	0.2	
	2 Air Terji	in Tretes	Pariwisata Agro	0.2	0.6	0.8	
	3 Alun-ah	in Kota Jombang	Pariwisata Kota	0.2	1	1	
	4 Bale Tar	ii	Pariwisata Rekreasi	0.5	1	0.8	
	5 Candi P	etirtaan Sumberbeji	Pariwisata Rekreasi	0.333	0.4	1	
	6 Goa Pin	25	Pariwisata Alam	0.2	0.6	0.8	
	7 Jombanj	g Kuliner	Pariwisata Marifum	0.2	1	0.8	
	0	0	Destanting Manage	a a			
	8 Makam	Gusdur	Pariwisata Kota	0.2	1	1	
dministrator! ≡	8 Makam 9 Monum 10 Museum	Gusdor en Asmaul Houna Brawijaya	Pariwisata Kota Pariwisata Budaya Pariwisata Budaya	0.2 0.2 0.2	1 0.4 0.4	1 0.8 1	denimistrator
dministrator! ≡ 0-38-39 Deard	8 Malam 9 Moman 10 Maseur	Guodur 19. Astmuid Houna Beansijaya	Parinsasta Kota Parinsasta Bodaya Parinsasta Bodaya	02 02 02	1 0.4 0.4	1 0.8 1	denimistrator
dministratort = 0-38.59 peard cr Data 4	8 Malam 9 Monum 10 Museur	Osodor m Aanand Homa Bearsgaya	Parinsiata Kota Parinsiata Badaya Parinsiata Badaya	02 02 02	1 0.4 0.4	1 0.8 1	denimistrator
dministrator! = 038.59 beend r Data 4 or Saran 4	8 Malam 9 Momm 10 Maseur Ranking 10 v entries per	Oxodor en Annani Homa Brangaya Page	Perminan Dadeya Perminan Dadeya Perminan Dadeya	02 02 02	1 0.4 0.4	1 0.8 1 Xe	fenisiotrator
dministrator! = 0.38.59 or Data 4 ir Sarau 4 er SPK +	6 Malam 9 Momm 10 Maran Ranking 10 v entries per	in Annah Huna Ann Huna Annah Huna	Perminan Budaya Perminan Budaya Perminan Budaya	0.2 0.2 0.2 2 2 8 18mg Tatal orang	1 0.4 0.4	1 0.5 1 Search	dmaintrator
dministrator! = 03859 02859 or Data 4 or Saran 4 or Saran 4 e SPK •	8 Maam 9 Mooran 10 Manaan 10 Manaan 10 entries pro Nama Alternatif Bale Taus	Ouodud Uoudud I Anamai Homa I Anamai Rohrmai I Parrosata Rohrmai	Permisan Kota Permisan Budeya Permisan Budeya Internet State	0.2 0.2 2 3 4 16nog Total orang 0.25	1 04 04	1 0.8 1	Smanistrator
dministrator! = D38:59 or Data 4 r Saran 4 r SPK • e SPK techitungao	Ranking Ranking 10 Massa Ranking 10 entries per Nana Alternatif Balt Tas Altan alan Keu Jenhan	Goude m Aanaal Heas I Beewijaya rjoge rjoge 2 Jesis Wicata Patricata Rokreasi g Patricata Kota	Perminan Kota Perminan Kota Perminan Budoya Perminan Budoya Perminan Budoya O 1029 0.02 0.02	0.3 0.3 0.3 2 Hinsey Test orang 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.3	1 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.23	1 0.8 1 Search 2 3umtah 0.575 0.55	teninistrator Ranking 1 2
dministratort = 6-38-59 6-38-59 for Data 4 or Saran 4 or SPK • ke SPK • Verhitungso or Peregguaa 4	E Maam 9 Maam 10 Maam 10 Maam 10 v entries per Nama Alternatif Bele Tam Alter and Kena Jonhan Madam Gendref	Guodes en Anemal Hemas Batewijsyn rpspe : Seniorite fallen generation petrovisat Rofensio petrovisat Rofensio petrovisat Rofensio	Persona Gody Persona Bodya Persona Bodya : Réneg Total Augura Bioya 0125 005 005	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.25	1 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.33 0.23	1 0.8 1 Search Search 1 3 4 0.573 0.55 0.55	Emenorator 1 2. 3
dministratori 0:38:59 or Data 4 or Saran 4 or SPK + be SPK + bohitungeo or Pongguna 4	 B Maam 9 Monum 10 Monum 10 Manum Ranking 10 ✓ entries per Maas Alternatif Bdr. Tas: Alse das Kota Jonaha Makan Coslor Sanga Geiso Geale	Guodes en Anmal Hears Intervijaya * page * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Perminik Kola Perminik Kola Perminik Dadoya Perminik Dadoya Perminik Dadoya Perminik Dadoya Perminik Dadoya Perminik Dadoya Perminik Kola Perm	0.2 0.2 0.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1	1 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.2 0.23	1 0.5 1 Search 2 Janubé 0.55	C Ranking 1 2 3 4
dministratort = 0 38.59 20 Data 4 20 Data 4 20 SPK 7 40 SPK 7 40 SPK 7 40 SPK 4	Kadam Monum Jo Monum Jo Monum Jo Monum Jo Monum Mon	Coude Coude Coude An Annai Hons Annai Hons Annai	Perminis Kota Perminis Kota Perminis Budoya Pe	0.2 0.2 0.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1	1 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.23 0.35 0.25	1 0.8 1 Search Juntah 0.375 0.55 0.55	 Ranking 1 2 3 4 5
dministrator! = 636.9 rr Data 4 rr Saran 4 r SPK 9 chiltugen 9 r Penggasa 4	 B Maam 9 Momm 9 Momm 10 Momm 10 Momm 10 minister 10 minister pro 10 minister pro<td>Coude Coude an Annail Hears Ann</td><td>Persons Kots Persons Kots Persons Budga Persons Budga Person Budga USAN Second Second</td><td>0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.25 0</td><td>1 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.2 0.23 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25</td><td>1 0.8 1 Search 2 3antak 0.575 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55</td><td>2. Ranking 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6</td>	Coude Coude an Annail Hears Ann	Persons Kots Persons Kots Persons Budga Persons Budga Person Budga USAN Second	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.25 0	1 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.2 0.23 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25	1 0.8 1 Search 2 3antak 0.575 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55	2. Ranking 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6
dministratort = 0:839 = naard = r Saran 4 r Saran 4 k SPK • chilmagen 4	E Maam 9 Moorn 10 mo	Coulde en Annal Home Enternious	Permisan Kota Permisan Kota Permisan Budoja Pe	2. Hinsy Test orang 2. Distance 3. Distance 4. Distanc	1 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.23 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25	1 08 1 Xeurch Seurch 3 untak 0.375 0.35 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.53	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
dministratori 10.839 er Data 4 er Sarau 4 er SPK 4 es SPK 4 trakitungen trakitungen 4	 B Maam 9 Monum 9 Monum 10 Monum 10 w entries per Ranking 10 w entries per Nana Alarmatif Balar Man Gandar Sanga Gala Gala Mana Gandar Sanga Gala Gala Wanta Sanhar Bool Jo Sanga Gala Gala Mana Sanhar Bool Jo Sanga Gala Gala Taman Kebas Ratu	Coulde en Annal Home Enterniopa Pope Could Pope Could Pope Porteata Kota Porteata Kota Porteata Kota Porteata Kota Porteata Kota Porteata Kota Porteata Rota Porteata Rota Porteata Rota	Permisan Kota Permisan Kota Permisan Budeja Permisan Budeja <td< td=""><td>2. 2. 3. 4. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5</td><td>1 0.4 2 Hereg Lans Liberas 0.2 0.33 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25</td><td>1 0.8</td><td>E Rasking 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td></td<>	2. 2. 3. 4. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5	1 0.4 2 Hereg Lans Liberas 0.2 0.33 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25	1 0.8	E Rasking 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
dministratori 0.839 = 0 859 = 0 12 Data 4 15 Parta 4 15	 B Maam 9 Monum 10 Monum 10 ministration 	Coulde en Annal Hoar Brancipa Pape Pape Salar	Parsing Kota Parsing Kota </td <td>2. 2. 3. 4. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5</td> <td>1 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5</td> <td>1 0.8 1 1 Search Search Search Search 1 Se</td> <td>Ranking I Z A S G 7 S 9</td>	2. 2. 3. 4. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5	1 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	1 0.8 1 1 Search Search Search Search 1 Se	Ranking I Z A S G 7 S 9

Figure 8. Decision Support Calculation Page

Description: This page allows the Admin to perform decision support calculations, essential for data analysis and system recommendations. The Admin can input data, apply the specified formulas, and obtain calculation results to support data-driven decisions.

Formulas Used: Matrix Normalization:

Benefit Criteria: To calculate the normalized value for criteria where higher values are better, use the formula:

Normalized
$$\text{Value}_{ij} = \frac{\text{Value}_{ij}}{\text{Max Value}_i}$$

This formula divides the alternative value by the maximum value of the criterion, resulting in a normalized value between 0 and 1, where a higher value indicates better performance on the criterion.

Cost Criteria: To calculate the normalized value for criteria where lower values are better, use the formula:

Normalized
$$\text{Value}_{ij} = \frac{\text{Min Value}_j}{\text{Value}_{ij}}$$

This formula divides the minimum value of the criterion by the alternative value, also resulting in a normalized value between 0 and 1, where a higher value indicates better performance on the criterion.

$$\text{Score}_i = \sum (\text{Normalized Value}_{ij} \times \text{Weight}_j)$$

Score Calculation: The final score for each alternative is calculated using:

This formula sums the product of each normalized value and its corresponding weight, producing a final score that reflects the overall performance of each alternative.

Report/Statistics Page (Admin)

10 v entries per page								Search	
Nama Alternatif 🌐 🌐	Jenis Wisata 🗘	Hitung Jarak 🗘	Hitung Total Anggaran Biaya 🗘	Hitung Total orang 🌐	Hitung Fasilitas 🗘	Hitung Lama Liburan 🗘	Jumlah	÷	Ranking
Bale Tani	Pariwisata Rekreasi	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.5) = 0.125	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.8) = 0.2	0.25 + 0.125 + 0.25 + 0.	25 + 0.2 = 1.075	1
Sungai Golo-Golo	Pariwisata Kota	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.2) = 0.05	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.8) = 0.2	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	0.25 + 0.05 + 0.25 + 0.2	+ 0.25 = 1	2
Wisata Sumber Boto Jombang	Pariwisata Maritim	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.2) = 0.05	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.8) = 0.2	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	0.25 + 0.05 + 0.25 + 0.2	+ 0.25 = 1	3
Sungai Brantas	Pariwisata Alam	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.333) = 0.083	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.8) = 0.2	(0.25 * 0.8) = 0.2	0.25 + 0.083 + 0.25 + 0.	2 + 0.2 = 0.983	4
Taman Tirta Wisata	Pariwisata Rekreasi	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.333) = 0.083	(0.25 * 0.6) = 0.15	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	0.25 + 0.083 + 0.15 + 0.	25 + 0.25 = 0.983	5
Wisata Asriloka Wonosallam	Pariwisata Budaya	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.333) = 0.083	(0.25 * 0.6) = 0.15	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	0.25 + 0.083 + 0.15 + 0.	25 + 0.25 = 0.983	6
Taman Kebun Ratu	Pariwisata Rekreasi	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.5) = 0.125	(0.25 * 0.6) = 0.15	(0.25 * 0.8) = 0.2	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	0.25 + 0.125 + 0.15 + 0.	2 + 0.25 = 0.975	7
Jombang Kuliner	Pariwisata Maritim	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.2) = 0.05	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.8) = 0.2	(0.25 * 0.8) = 0.2	0.25 + 0.05 + 0.25 + 0.2	+ 0.2 = 0.95	8
Wisata Bukit Pecaringan	Pariwisata Rekreasi	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.333) = 0.083	(0.25 * 0.6) = 0.15	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 0.8) = 0.2	0.25 + 0.083 + 0.15 + 0.	25 + 0.2 = 0.933	9
Makam Gusdur	Pariwisata Kota	(0.25 * 0.25) = 0.063	(0.25 * 0.2) = 0.05	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	(0.25 * 1) = 0.25	0.063 + 0.05 + 0.25 + 0.	25 + 0.25 = 0.863	10

Figure 9. Report Page

Description: This page displays the detailed calculation results generated by the system, providing an in-depth view of the analyzed data in the form of tables. It supports data-driven decision-making by presenting detailed information and visualizations, enabling the Admin to perform further analysis and make well-informed decisions based on the displayed results.

• User Dashboard/Main Menu



Figure 10. Dasboard Page

Description: After logging in, Users access a dashboard that offers navigation and displays key information relevant to their needs.

• Recommendation Page



Figure 11. Recommendation Page

Description: Shows the application's recommendations to Users, based on their inputs and preferences.

• Suggestion Submission Page

Halo, user1! = 02/08/24 • 0:47:51		user1	± -
🎓 Dashboard	Tambah Saran Destinasi Wisata		
📮 Saran Destinasi Wisata	Saran Nama Destinasi Wisata		
🔔 Ubah Profil	Masukkan Nama Destinasi Wisata		
	Jenis Wisata		
	Pilih Jenis Wisata 🗸		
	Keterangan		
	Masukkan Keterangan		
	Copyright © SPK Wisets Jonhang 2024 Pr	ivacy Policy - Tem	s & Conditions



Description: Users can submit their suggestions confirmation of their submission through this page.

• Logout Page

Halo, Administrator!	A.						Administrative 💄 •
Dashboard Station Data Manter Data Manter Saran Master Saran	Dashboard Dashboard					>	(+ Logout
			SELAMAI Sistem Pendukung	DAIANG DI. Keputusan Prediks Recomendasi Wisata	JOMBAN 1 Anggaran ur	G	
	DESTIVASI WISATA 51	KRITERIA 5		IENIS WISATA 7	% 1	PENGGUNA 4	20
	Copyright © 1995 Winds Joseboog 2024						Persey Petery Terms & Catalinous

Figure 13. Logout Menu Button

Description: This page handles the logout process for both Admins and Users, ensuring a secure end to their session.

CONCLUSIONS

This study successfully developed a web-based Decision Support System (DSS) using the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method for recommending tourist destinations in Jombang Regency. The application of the SAW method within this system has proven effective in providing accurate and objective recommendations based on budget, trip duration, and number of participants. The findings indicate that this DSS enhances trip planning efficiency by integrating information and offering recommendations aligned with user preferences. The system features a user-friendly design and functionalities that facilitate interaction between users and admins.

However, the system is still a prototype and requires further development for full implementation. This research paves the way for more advanced system development and further adjustments to better meet future tourist needs.

REFERENCES

- Cholilla, N., Tristiana, D., Tinggi, S., Pemuda, I. E., & Setyowati, L. (2023). Prosedur perhitungan anggaran upah tenaga kerja langsung di PT. Wijaya Tirta Makmur. *Jurnal Riset Ilmu Manajemen dan Kewirausahaan*, 1(4), 155–169. <u>https://doi.org/10.61132/maeswara.v1i4.80</u>
- Dartiningsih, B. E. (2021). Komunikasi pariwisata: Tinjauan praktik pariwisata syariah di Madura. Penerbit Adab.
- Di, W., & Lampung, K. (2024). Pascasarjana Universitas Lampung Bandar Lampung 2024.
- Hartanto, S. (2020). Bukti kegiatan tambahan. GB. Lis penerimaan penelitian. 2018-2020. Pen. Dasar. Suryo.
- Maluku, K. I. (2021). Penerapan Technique for Order Preference By Similarity. 15.
- Nugroho, F., Triayudi, A., & Mesran, M. (2023). Sistem pendukung keputusan rekomendasi objek wisata menerapkan metode MABAC dan pembobotan ROC. *JSON: Jurnal Sistem Komputer dan Informatika*, *121*(1), 112–121. <u>https://doi.org/10.30865/json.v5i1.6822</u>
- Pamungkas, M. L. (2019). Sistem pendukung keputusan rekomendasi tempat wisata di Pasuruan menggunakan metode weighted product berbasis Android (Skripsi Teknik Informatika, 88 halaman).
- Singgalen, Y. A. (2023). Penerapan metode TOPSIS sebagai pendukung keputusan pemilihan layanan akomodasi di destinasi wisata Pulau Morotai. *Jurnal Media Informatika*, 7(3), 1386–1394. <u>https://doi.org/10.30865/mib.v7i3.6530</u>
- Sukerti, N. K. (2020). Penerapan metode fuzzy TOPSIS dan fuzzy SAW dalam menentukan lokasi wisata di Nusa Penida. *Jurnal Ilmiah Intech: Information Technology Journal of UMUS*, 2(01). https://doi.org/10.46772/intech.v2i01.189